



COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO.

09/460,223

12/13/99

MIYAGAWA

JEL-28567RE-

**EXAMINER** 

WM01/0108

HINDI N **ART UNIT** 

PAPER NUMBER

STEVENS DAVIS MILLER & MOSHER LLP SUITE 850

PO BOX 34387

WASHINGTON DC 20043-4387

JAMES E LEDBETTER ESQ

2651

DATE MAILED:

01/08/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/460,223

Applican

Miyagawa et al

Examiner

**NABIL HINDI** 

Group Art Unit 2651



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 25, 2000	·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	_
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set t is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensi 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
$\square$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ıg Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objec	ted to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗖 approved 🗖 disapproved.
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	of the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	
☐ received in this national stage application from the	
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
<ul><li>☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892</li><li>☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N</li></ul>	la(a) ?
Interview Summary, PTO-413	10(8)2
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	48
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
	•
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 2651

In response to applicant's supplemental preliminary amendment dated Aug. 25, 2000. The following action is taken:

There are five related reissue cases. The first paragraph must be amended to mention all of the related cases.

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP. § 1414.

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to contain a statement that all errors which are being corrected in the reissue application up to the time of filing of the oath/declaration arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.175 (a)(2)and MPEP. § 1414.

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37CFR 1.175 and MPEP. § 1414) because of the following:

- 1. The declaration does not adequately identify at least one error as per 37CFR 1.175 (a)(1).
- 2. The declaration does not state that all errors correction in this application arose without any deceptive intention on the part of applicant.

Claims 26, and 28-35 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

Application/Control Number: 09/460223 Page 3

Art Unit: 2651

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

This reissue application was filed without the required offer to surrender the original patent or, if the original is lost or inaccessible, an affidavit or declaration to that effect. The original patent, or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.

The original patent, or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.

Claims 28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 28 line 24, the limitation "said photo detecting means" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Applicant is hereby reminded that the new supplemental declaration should cover all the errors corrected from the filing of the reissue applicant to the amendment filed Aug. 25, 2000.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL.HINDI

at telephone number (703) 308.1555

ROUP 2500